Doxument 2

FOI 760

Achieving improved patient outcomes in the provision of MRI rebates

MRI represents one of the most important radiological techniques that does not use potentially cancer-causing ionising radiation. Since MRI rebates were introduced in 1998, the Perth population has risen from 1.3 to 2 million. All eight private practice full licenses in the metropolitan area have been granted to the two large corporate practices creating a longstanding duopoly which has curtailed competition and resulted in poor patient access to expert MRI services in Perth.

Envision is a medium sized, low volume, quality driven radiology practice primarily catering to specialist referral. We are the preferred provider for multiple elite sporting teams due to the quality of our service. Almost all specialist referred prostate and cardiac imaging is currently performed at Envision due to the complexity of this work.

In 2012, all regional centres with compliant MRIs were granted full licences. None were granted in the Perth metropolitan area. Feedback from the Devortment at the time was that despite an excellent submission, Envision's application was rejected due to us being too close to existing licence holders.

Notwithstanding this, the department has allowed Capit Radiology to swap a full licence from Mildura to Melbourne originally granted for its regional location. Additionally in Perth, a partial license that had not wen used since 2012 was allowed to be transferred to another suburb 20 or closer to the CBD.

It is worth noting that Envision has been located as close to our referring specialists as possible. Patients referred to us commonly drive to outer metropolitan suburbs to have the scan on an MRI machine which attracts a rebate given closer existing licensed MRIs are full. They will typically be imaged on older 1.5T MRI units rather than are recently acquired high end 3T MRI machine given there is no incentive for existing full licence MRIs to be upgraded in the absence of any real competiti

MSAC is considering rebates for prostate and cardiac MR imaging. Were these gented exclusively to full licensed MRI units, there would be little doubt that as with other studies, patients would be forced to be imaged on a rebated scanner. would be despite these older units not being optimised for these kinds of studies and being performed by technical staff without years of experience. In addition, the studies would be interpreted by radiologists who have not been routinely interpreting these studies.

Y982 by the Department of the

- Lo Envision would likely change which budgetary impact given these patients achines elsewhere.

 Itemse and levelling the playing field with the two the government would bereaft because patients was envise for their rebate as their scans would be performed MRI machine and would be reported by more experienced an experience of their rebate as their scans would be reprorted and that granting used on the MRI service quality a practice provided and that granting used as would be helpful to the people of Perth and their care.

 The provided that the provided with the provided with the provided and the aung the playing field with the two big
 aument would increase the choice available for patients
 are reservice for their rebate as their scans would be performed on a
 aver, better MRI machine and would be reported by more experienced and skilled
 radiologists.

 3. We are confident that Envision would have been granted a full license if licensing was based on the MRI service quality a practice provided and that granting us dicense would be helpful to the people of Perth and their care.

FOI 760