

Evaluation and Review of the National Suicide Prevention Leadership and Support Program

Department of Health

Research Summary Brief

14 April 2021



Disclaimer

Inherent Limitations

This report has been prepared as outlined in the engagement contract. The services provided in connection with this engagement comprise an advisory engagement, which is not subject to assurance or other standards issued by the Australian Auditing and Assurance Standards Board and, consequently no opinions or conclusions intended to convey assurance have been expressed.

No warranty of completeness, accuracy or reliability is given in relation to the statements and representations made by, and the information and documentation provided by, the Department of Health management and personnel / stakeholders consulted as part of the process.

KPMG have indicated within this report the sources of the information provided. We have not sought to independently verify those sources unless otherwise noted within the report.

KPMG is under no obligation in any circumstance to update this report, in either oral or written form, for events occurring after the report has been issued in final form. Any redistribution of this report is to be complete and unaltered version of the report. Responsibility for the security of any distribution of this report (electronic or otherwise) remains the responsibility of the Department of Health and KPMG accepts no liability if the report is or has been altered in any way by any person.

The findings in this report have been formed on the above basis.

Third Party Reliance

This report is solely for the purpose set out in the engagement contract and for the Department of Health, and is not to be used for any other purpose or distributed to any other party without KPMG's prior written consent.

This report has been prepared at the request of the Department of Health in accordance with the terms of KPMG's engagement contract dated 7 December 2020. Other than our responsibility to the Department of Health, neither KPMG nor any member or employee of KPMG undertakes responsibility arising in any way from reliance placed by a third party on this report. Any reliance placed is that party's sole responsibility.



Glossary

Table 1. Glossary

Acronym	Meaning
AHA	Australian Healthcare Associates
GOGs	Grant Opportunity Guidelines
NSPLSP	National Suicide Prevention Leadership and Support Program
PHN	Primary Health Network



Purpose

The evaluation and review of the NSPLSP provides an overview of all funded Activities that sit under it and informs the future direction of the Program to align with key suicide prevention priorities. This evaluation and review had the following objectives:

- Describe what has been funded and what has been delivered by the Program as a whole
- Consolidate advice on how the Program, and related initiatives, align with the Australian Government's commitments and government announcements, and the potential impact of any changes to the Program or investment in any areas
- Identify projects that have not been completed and/or might require continued funding, as well as any other priority areas for future funding
- Identify any necessary changes in GOGs and allocation of funding through a review of administrative processes.

The aim of this project is to inform the Department's next steps for investment and recommendations to Government on future national suicide prevention leadership and support priorities.

Evaluation and review approach

KPMG was engaged by the Department of Health to undertake a process evaluation of the NSPLSP, focusing on how the program operates, including its function, performance and component parts. For the NSPLSP process evaluation and review, a key focus was on assessing the extent to which the projects across the five funding streams have been implemented as designed and consistent with the evidence underpinning the design.

Table 2. Methodology

Element of methodology	Description	
Data analysis	 AHA analysis reports of the National Suicide Prevention Program Minimum Data Set Financial and performance documentation from funded partners such as activity work plans, performance reports, budgets, income and expenditure statements, and audited financial statements 	
Semi-structured interviews	 Funded partner organisations Department Project Team PHN staff AHA staff 	
Documentation review	 NSPLSP program documentation provided by the Department Funding agreement and other related documents Additional documentation provided by funded partners 	

Key evaluation questions

- What has the Program funded and how have these activities progressed?
- How do the activities funded under the Program align with Australian Government commitments and other key priorities?
- What are the implications for the future of the Program?



Key evaluation question 1

Key Evaluation Question 1 for this evaluation and review is: What has the Program funded and how have these activities progressed?

The purpose of posing this question is to develop an understanding the current state of the NSPLSP and the various activities funded under the Program. The following were key considerations when exploring this question:

- What are the major achievements under the Program?
- What has impacted on achieving Program objectives?
- How efficiently are resources being used?

A summary of findings and future design considerations is provided below.

Table 3. Key evaluation question 1 findings

Findings	Future design considerations
The Program is tracking well, with the majority	Ensure consistent performance reporting,
of funded partners achieving their targets.	including following agreed templates.
However, there are inconsistencies in reporting	
against targets and use of reporting templates.	
A number of funded partners are evaluating	Ensure consistent performance reporting,
their individual activities to assess the impact	including following agreed templates.
that their projects are having on the sector and	
that support continuous improvement.	
COVID-19 has impacted delivery, but funded	Ensure that new aspects of delivery that
partners have been flexible in their delivery	worked well and helped to overcome COVID-19
approach.	access barriers continue to be adopted for use
	into the future.
Funded partners are able to deliver planned	Potential changes to Program funding include
activities through current funding. All funded	Consumer Price Index increases, incentivising
partners reflected they could strengthen	collaboration, and revisiting funding timeframes.
existing activities or expand through additional	
funding.	



Key evaluation question 2

Key Evaluation Question 2 for this evaluation and review is: How do the activities funded under the Program align with Australian Government commitments and other key priorities?

Exploring this question involved considering the existing work of NSPLSP funded partners and how this contributes to the Program's intended outcomes, and the linkage between this and broader government priorities on the reduction of suicide and suicidal behaviour.

A summary of findings and future design considerations is provided below.

Table 4. Key evaluation question 2 findings

Findings	Future design considerations
The funded partners are all undertaking valuable work to contribute to national priorities for suicide prevention.	Ensure that the Program continues to provide a key role in supporting national priority areas.
Leadership is critical to the sector, and it has improved, however there is still no clear definition of suicide prevention leadership in the context of the NSPLSP.	 Develop a clear definition of leadership to provide clarity regarding the objectives of the leadership component of the NSPLSP. Conduct a scoping analysis to inform priority areas and future Program direction.
The level of engagement between PHNs and NSPLSP funded partners, and the effectiveness of this engagement, is mixed.	There are opportunities for the Department to facilitate connections between funded partners and PHNs.



Key evaluation question 3

Key Evaluation Question 3 for this evaluation and review is: What are the implications for the future of the Program?

The following were key considerations when exploring this question:

- Areas of lower priority, including those that may not be fully meeting the Program objectives or are potentially duplicating other services
- Identification of areas to focus for future funding, for example new priority areas and/or opportunities
- Necessary changes in GOGs and future funding allocations.

A summary of findings and future design considerations is provided below.

Table 5. Key evaluation question 3 findings

Findings	Future design considerations
There is a lack of visibility of activities across the funded partners, which may lead to duplication and funded partners 'running in parallel', where they are focused on achieving their own goals rather than collectively working towards a common set of goals.	There are opportunities to increase collaboration between funded partners through formal and structured mechanisms, supported by the Department.
There are many universal and generalist approaches to suicide prevention used within the NSPLSP. There is some valuable work being done to target specific cohorts, however there is scope for this to be improved.	Supplement the current national approach with activities that bolster existing efforts to target specific population groups and need areas (note that this is supported by the scoping analysis suggested under Key Evaluation Question 2).
Reporting processes are relatively straightforward, however can be improved through more regular feedback and greater visibility of use of AHA data. Reporting is too activity or output focused.	 Invest in the development of an outcomes-focused performance framework. There are opportunities for the Department to improve communications with funded partners on reporting insights, by synthesising insights and feeding back.