



## Minute

**TO:**

Brett Cox  
Assistant Secretary  
Health Grants and Network Division

**SUBJECT: EXECUTION OF FUNDING AGREEMENTS FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT  
GRANT AND FEMALE FACILITIES AND WATER SAFETY STREAM PROGRAMS**

s 22

- Southern Bay Cyclones Junior Rugby Club Inc;

s 22

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN RELEASED UNDER  
THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 1982 (CTH)  
BY THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

The following organisations have been offered funding agreements:

s 22	Organisation s 22	Program	Amount of funding s 22	Meets Eligibility Criteria Y/N	Meets Program Criterion Y/N	Is Considered Value for Money Y/N	Aligns with original election/budget commitment? Y/N	Was risk considered proportional for release? Y/N
s 22								
	Southern Bay Cyclones Junior Rugby Club Inc	FFWSS	\$500,000	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
	s 22							

**Table 1 – Affected Grant Recipients and Summary**

## GRANT APPLICANT'S ELIGIBILITY AND THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS:

The grant opportunities for the FFWSS & s 22  
s 22

were established as one-off grants recognising their status as an election commitment. Please see *Table 1* above for a summary of the criterion that was considered by sports team grant officers based on the information provided in the RFIs. The consideration was undertaken in accordance with the relevant GoGs and the CGRGs. This informed the development of the Funding Agreements in consultation with the organisations. Where the Grant Officer determined that the organisation was deemed suitable, the following was considered as having been met:

- The grant funding agreement is consistent with the intent of the project as identified by the Australian Government (i.e. the Election Commitment and Budget Commitment);
- These projects meet the specified eligibility criteria as per the relevant Grant Opportunity Guidelines;
- The project benefits are consistent with the intent of the program. Sports Grant officers reviewed the respective RFIs to ensure minimum criterion was, noting the Department viewed these as low risk grants on the basis of the relative low value (under \$2 million) and complexity for delivery, the RFIs were considered in proportion to their relative risk.
- Each of the attached projects have met the considerations in terms of value for money with expected benefits noted from the RFI.
- Other aspects considered by the grant officer's included:
  - Each project's viability and sustainability including: how well the project has been scoped and costed;
  - The security of any planned partnership funding if appropriate;
  - How well any potential risks to the delivery of the projects have been addressed; and
  - How the organisations aim to maintain the project in the future.

Note that the organisations that have executed agreements in place will continue to be monitored and reviewed through delivery health checks that will be undertaken by grants officers. The outcomes of these checks will be recorded by the team. This will ensure that emerging factors which could impact an organisation's ability to deliver within the proposed timeframes and or scope (e.g. COVID impacts) or change in eligibility which these checks might highlight, will be identified with an appropriate management response. This will provide an ongoing level of assurance.

s 22

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That you:

- **execute** the attached funding agreements; and
- **note** the future assessment process

Approved / Not Approved / Please Discuss / Noted

s 22

Brett Cox  
Assistant Secretary  
Streamlining Grants Branch  
Health Grants and Network Division

31 / 03 / 2020

**Contact officer:**

s 22

A/g Director  
Grant Analytics and  
Transformation  
Streamlining Grants Branch

**Phone:**

s 22

**Email:**

s 22