Australian Public Service

Employee Census **2022** 9 May –10 June



Highlights Report **DoH**



CONTENT	
	Page
Exploring your results	2
Employee Engagement: Say, Stay, Strive	3
Leadership	4
Communication and Change	6
Workplace Conditions	7
Inclusion	9
Enabling Innovation	10
Wellbeing Policies and Support	11
Wellbeing	12
Performance	14
Retention	16
Unacceptable Behaviour	18
Demographics	21
Time to Take Action	23
Guide to this Report	24

RESPONSES:

5,459 of 7,405

RESPONSE RATE:

74%



EXPLORING YOUR RESULTS



Take time to understand your report. Consider your response rate to determine how representative your results are of the views of your colleagues.



Most questions in this report have information about the proportion of colleagues responding positively, neutrally or negatively.



Identify the areas where you are performing well. These will tend to be high results which are notably above any comparative results. Celebrate these results.



Identify areas that need improvement. These will be the lower results, and/or those which are scoring notably below your comparators.



Generally a difference of -/+ 5 percentage points is worthy of attention, but the size of the group is important. Changes in small groups can be unreliable.

Australian Government


Australian Public Service Commission

EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT: SAY, STAY, STRIVE



HOW ENGAGED IS YOUR TEAM?

EMPLOYEE
ENGAGEMENT IS MORE
THAN SIMPLY JOB
SATISFACTION OR
COMMITMENT TO AN
ORGANISATION. IT IS
THE EXTENT TO
WHICH EMPLOYEES
ARE MOTIVATED,
INSPIRED AND
ENABLED TO IMPROVE
AN ORGANISATION'S
OUTCOMES.



KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative




2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 03.

LEADERSHIP - IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR



IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

THE IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR SCORE ASSESSES HOW EMPLOYEES VIEW THE LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOURS OF THEIR IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR IN LINE WITH THE APS LEADERSHIP CAPABILITY FRAMEWORK.



Australian Government

Australian Public Service Commission

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 04.

LEADERSHIP - SES MANAGER



SES MANAGER

THE SES MANAGER SCORE ASSESSES HOW EMPLOYEES VIEW THE LEADERSHIP BEHAVIOURS OF THEIR IMMEDIATE SES MANAGER IN LINE WITH THE APS LEADERSHIP CAPABILITY FRAMEWORK.

.	YOUR SES MANAGER LEADERSHIP INDEX	RESPONSE SC	ALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
	SCORE				+3	+6 	+2	+3
	My SES manager clearly articulates the direction and priorities for our area	78	15	78 %	+5♠	+10 🐼	+3	+5♠
	My SES manager presents convincing arguments and persuades others towards an outcome	75	20	75 %	+5♠	+13 🚳	+2	+6♠
Manager	My SES manager promotes cooperation within and between agencies	78	19	78 %	+4	+11 🐼	+1	+5 0
SES Ma	My SES manager encourages innovation and creativity	77	18	77 %	+4	+11 🐼	+5♠	+7 0
	My SES manager creates an environment that enables us to deliver our best	77	16	77 %	+76	+13 🚱	+4	+70
	My SES manager ensures that work effort contributes to the strategic direction of the agency and the APS	84	13	84%	+2	+10 🐼	+2	+5♠
	Other similar questions							
	In my agency, the SES work as a team	68	25	68%	+3	+15 🛇	+80	+10 🐼
AII SES	In my agency, the SES clearly articulate the direction and priorities for our agency	75	19	75 %	+50	+11 🐼	+60	+70
	In my agency, communication between SES and other employees is effective	70	21 9	70%	+70	+17 🐼	+9 &	+11 🐼
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAG COMPARATOR	E POINTS LESS	THAN		Positive Ne	utral Negative	

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 05.



COMMUNICATION AND CHANGE



COMMUNICATION


THE
COMMUNICATION
SCORE MEASURES
COMMUNICATION
AT THE INDIVIDUAL,
GROUP AND
AGENCY LEVEL.

9	YOUR COMMUNICATION INDEX SCORE	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021 +2	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL +6 •	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES +3	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES +4
tion	My supervisor communicates effectively	84 10	84%	+2	+3	+2	+2
Communication	My SES manager communicates effectively	81 13	81%	+3	+11 🐼	+3	+60
Соп	Internal communication within my agency is effective	71 20 9	71 %	+6 🔂	+14 🐼	+9	+11 🐼

CHANGE

EFFECTIVE
COMMUNICATION IS
AN IMPORTANT
PART OF ANY
CHANGE PROCESS.
NOTE THESE
QUESTIONS DO NOT
CONTRIBUTE TO
THE ABOVE INDEX
SCORE.

Other similar questions



KEY

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 06.

WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
My job gives me opportunities to utilise my skills	84 9	84%	-3	+5♠	+2	+3
I have a choice in deciding how I do my work	77 18	77 %	+6 ۞	+13 🚱	+5 ♠	+5 ☆
Where appropriate, I am able to take part in decisions that affect my job	80 12	80%	+4	+10 🚱	+3	+5♠
I am clear what my duties and responsibilities are	82 14	82%	+4	+2	+3	+2
I am satisfied with the recognition I receive for doing a good job	78 14	78 %	+4	+11 🚱	+4	+5•
I am fairly remunerated (e.g. salary, superannuation) for the work that I do	77 13 10	77 %	0	+16 �	+4	+80
I am satisfied with my non-monetary employment conditions (e.g. leave, flexible work arrangements, other benefits)	86 9	86%	+2	+10 🚱	+6 	+6•
I am satisfied with the stability and security of my job	80 11	80%	0	0	-5♥	-2
I am confident that if I requested a flexible work arrangement, my request would be given reasonable consideration	91	91%	+5♠	+13 🚱	+9 0	+80

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 07.

WORKPLACE CONDITIONS

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
I feel a strong personal attachment to the APS	61 29 9	61 %	+2	-1	-2	-1
I understand how my role contributes to achieving an outcome for the Australian public	94	94%	+2	+2	+3	+2
I believe strongly in the purpose and objectives of the APS	87 12	87%	+5♠	+2	0	0
	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
What best describes your current workload?						
Well above capacity - too much work		19%	-5♥	-4	-2	-3
Slightly above capacity – lots of work to do		41%	-1	+1	+2	+1
At capacity – about the right amount of work to do		32 %	+5 ♦	+2	+2	+2
Slightly below capacity - available for more work		7 %	+1	+1	-2	0
Well below capacity - not enough work		1%	0	0	0	0

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 08.



INCLUSION AND FLEXIBLE WORKING

	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
My agency supports and actively promotes an inclusive workplace culture	87 10	87%	+4	+86	+6 &	+5♠
My supervisor actively ensures that everyone can be included in workplace activities	87 9	87%	-	+3	+1	+2
I receive the respect I deserve from my colleagues at work	86 11	86%	+4	+4	+2	+3
	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
Do you currently access any of the following flexible working arrangements? [Multiple Response]						
Part time		15%	-2	0	+1	+1
Flexible hours of work		24%	-3	-3	+3	-1
Compressed work week		3 %	0	0	0	0
Job sharing		0%	0	0	0	0
Working away from the office/working from home		80%	+14 🚳	+26♠	+20 🕢	+16 🐼
None of the above		9%	-12 👁	-18 👁	-18 👁	-13 👁
	AST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN ARATOR		Posit	ive Neutral Ne	gative	

Australian Government
Australian Public Service Commission


2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 09.

ENABLING INNOVATION



ENABLING INNOVATION

THE INNOVATION SCORE ASSESSES BOTH WHETHER EMPLOYEES FEEL WILLING AND ABLE TO BE INNOVATIVE, AND WHETHER THEIR AGENCY HAS A CULTURE WHICH ENABLES THEM TO BE SO.




KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 10.

WELLBEING POLICIES AND SUPPORT



WELLBEING

THE WELLBEING SCORE PROVIDES A MEASURE OF THE PRACTICAL AND CULTURAL ELEMENTS THAT ALLOW FOR A SUSTAINABLE AND HEALTHY WORKING ENVIRONMENT.

±	YOUR WELLBEING POLICIES AND SUPPORT INDEX	RESPONSE SCALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
	SCORE			+3	+4	+3	+2
oort	I am satisfied with the policies/practices in place to help me manage my health and wellbeing	72 20 8	72 %	+2	+80	+6 ♠	+60
and support	My agency does a good job of communicating what it can offer me in terms of health and wellbeing	68 22 10	68%	+3	+4	+4	+2
policies	My agency does a good job of promoting health and wellbeing	72 19 9	72 %	+86	+9	+8 ₽	+6 🚱
Wellbeing I	I think my agency cares about my health and wellbeing	72 19 9	72 %	+10 🐼	+10 🐼	+7 &	+70
- M	I believe my immediate supervisor cares about my health and wellbeing	89 8	89%	+3	+4	+1	+1


KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 11.

WELLBEING

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
How often do you find your work stressful?						
Always		3 %	-1	-1	0	-1
Often		21%	-5♥	-5♥	-4	-5♥
Sometimes		51 %	+1	+1	0	+1
Rarely		22 %	+5 ☆	+4	+3	+4
Never		2 %	+1	+1	+1	+1
To what extent is your work emotionally demanding?						
To a very large extent		5 %	-1	-3	-1	-2
To a large extent		15%	-5♥	-6♥	-3	-4
Somewhat		38 %	-2	-1	-1	-1
To a small extent		29%	+3	+5♠	+3	+4
To a very small extent		14%	+4	+4	+3	+3

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 12.



WELLBEING

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
I feel burned out by my work						
Strongly agree		6%	-1	-2	-1	-1
Agree		20%	-3	-4	-3	-3
Neither agree nor disagree		31 %	0	-1	0	0
Disagree		34 %	+3	+4	+2	+3
Strongly disagree		10%	+1	+3	+2	+2
In general, would you say that your health is:						
Excellent		11%	-3	+1	0	+1
Very good		38 %	+2	+4	+2	+2
Good		36 %	+1	-2	-1	-1
Fair		13%	0	-2	-1	-1
Poor		3 %	0	0	0	0

KEY

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR

0

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





PERFORMANCE

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
In the last month, please rate your workgroup's overall performance						
Excellent		33 %	+2	+5 0	+2	+3
Very good		55%	+1	0	0	0
Average		11%	-2	-4	-2	-2
Below average		1%	0	-1	-1	-1
Well below average		0%	0	0	0	0
n the last month, please rate your agency's success in meeting its goals and objectives						
Excellent		23%	+1	+6 	+4	+50
Very good		59%	+3	+5 ۞	+2	+3
Average		16%	-2	-80	-4	-6♥
Below average		2%	-1	-2	-1	-1
Well below average		1%	0	-1	-1	-1

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR





PERFORMANCE

	RESPONSE SC	CALE	% POSITIVE	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
My workgroup has the appropriate skills, capabilities and knowledge to perform well	84	10	84%	+2	+5♠	+2	+3
My workgroup has the tools and resources we need to perform well	70	15 15	70 %	+3	+9	+5♠	+80
The people in my workgroup use time and resources efficiently	81	12	81%	+3	+4	+2	+2
My workgroup can readily adapt to new priorities and tasks	87	9	87%	+1	+2	+1	+2
The people in my workgroup cooperate to get the job done	91		91%	+3	+2	+1	+1

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

Positive Neutral Negative



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 15.

RETENTION



EMPLOYEES WHO
INDICATED THAT THEY
WANTED TO LEAVE
THEIR CURRENT
POSITION AS SOON AS
POSSIBLE OR WITHIN
THE NEXT 12 MONTHS
WERE ASKED WHAT
THEIR PLANS WERE.

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
Which of the following statements best reflects your surrent position?	current thoughts about working in your					
I want to leave my position as soon as possible		7 %	-3	-2	-2	-1
I want to leave my position within the next 12 months		25 %	0	+1	-3	0
I want to stay working in my position for the next one to two years		45 %	+2	+7 0	+1	+3
I want to stay working in my position for at least the next three years		24%	+1	-6♥	+4	-1
What best describes your plans involved with leaving	your current position?	4%	0	-2	0	0
	your current position?	4 % 43 %	0 -3	-2 +2	0 +3	O +1
I am planning to retire	your current position?					
I am planning to retire I am pursuing another position within my agency	your current position?	43%	-3	+2	+3	+1
I am planning to retire I am pursuing another position within my agency I am pursuing a position in another agency	your current position?	43 % 20 %	-3 -1	+2	+3 -8 ♥	+1

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 16.



RETENTION



EMPLOYEES WERE ALSO ASKED FOR THE PRIMARY REASON BEHIND THEIR DESIRE TO LEAVE AND COULD SELECT ONE RESPONSE FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE FIVE **REASONS FOR** LEAVING WITH THE HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES, WORK UNITS AND WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.

RES	SPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
What is the primary reason behind your desire to leave your responses):	r current position? (5 highest					
I wish to pursue a promotion opportunity		19%	-	-	-	-
I am looking to further my skills in another area		16%	-	-	-	-
I want to try a different type of work or I'm seeking a career change		14%	-	-	-	-
Other		8%	-	-	-	-
I have achieved all I can in my current position		6%	-	-	-	-

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENT AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR

PAGE 17. 2022 APS Employee Census



UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO HAD PERCEIVED DISCRIMINATION IN THE LAST 12 MONTHS IN THE COURSE OF THEIR EMPLOYMENT WERE ASKED WHAT THE BASIS WAS FOR THE DISCRIMINATION. EMPLOYEES COULD SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE
TYPES OF
DISCRIMINATION WITH
THE HIGHEST
PROPORTION OF
RESPONSES ARE
PRESENTED HERE.
THESE MAY VARY
BETWEEN AGENCIES,
WORK UNITS AND
WITH RESULTS FOR
THE APS OVERALL.

DISCRIMINATION	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARG SIZED AGENCIES
During the last 12 months and in the course of discrimination on the basis of your backgrou						
Yes		7 %	-2	-3	-2	-2
No		93%	+2	+3	+2	+2
Did this discrimination occur in your current	agency?					
Yes		82%	-7 O	-9 ©	-4	-6 0
No		18%	+7 •	+9	+4	+60
Basis for the discrimination that you experie	nced (3 highest responses):					
Gender		29%	-	-	-	-
Age		27%	-	-	-	-

KEY



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN COMPARATOR



2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 18.

UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO PERCEIVED HARASSMENT OR **BULLYING IN THE LAST** 12 MONTHS WERE ASKED WHAT TYPE OF HARASSMENT OR **BULLYING THEY** EXPERIENCED. **EMPLOYEES COULD** SELECT ONE OR MORE RESPONSES FROM A LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE **OPTIONS WITH THE** HIGHEST PROPORTION OF RESPONSES ARE PRESENTED HERE. THESE MAY VARY BETWEEN AGENCIES. **WORK UNITS AND** WITH RESULTS FOR THE APS OVERALL.

HARASSMENT AND BULLYING	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
### AGENCIES AGE Tring the last 12 months, have you been subjected to harassment or bullying in your current properties						
Yes		7 %	-4	-2	-1	-1
No		89%	+5♠	+3	+2	+2
Not sure		4%	-2	-1	0	0
Types of harassment or bullying experienced (3 highes	st responses):					
Interference with work tasks (e.g. withholding needed information, undermining or sabotage)		54%	-	-	-	-
Verbal abuse (e.g. offensive language, derogatory remarks, shouting or screaming)		45 %	-	-	-	-
Deliberate exclusion from work-related activities		29%	-	-	-	-
Did you report the harassment or bullying?						
I reported the behaviour in accordance with my agency's policies and procedures		32 %	+1	-1	-1	-2
It was reported by someone else		9%	+4	+2	+2	+2
I did not report the behaviour		59 %	-5♥	-1	-1	0
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POI THAN COMPARATOR	NTS GREATER	(AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN OR	TS LESS THAN

Australian Government Australian Public Service Commission

PAGE 19. 2022 APS Employee Census

UNACCEPTABLE BEHAVIOUR



EMPLOYEES WHO
INDICATED THAT THEY
HAD WITNESSED
POTENTIAL CORRUPT
BEHAVIOUR WERE
ASKED TO DESCRIBE
THE BEHAVIOUR.
EMPLOYEES COULD
SELECT ONE OR MORE
RESPONSES FROM A
LIST OF ITEMS.

ONLY THE THREE
TYPES OF CORRUPT
BEHAVIOURS WITH
THE HIGHEST
PROPORTION OF
RESPONSES ARE
PRESENTED HERE.
THESE MAY VARY
BETWEEN AGENCIES
AND WITH RESULTS
FOR THE APS
OVERALL.

CORRUPTION	ESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARGE SIZED AGENCIES
Excluding behaviour reported to you as part of your dutie witnessed another APS employee in your agency engagin may be serious enough to be viewed as corruption?						
Yes		2 %	-1	-2	-1	-1
No		94%	+2	+3	+1	+1
Not sure		3 %	-1	-1	0	0
Would prefer not to answer		2%	-1	-1	0	0
Types of corrupt behaviours witnessed (3 highest respons	ses):					
Cronyism-preferential treatment of friends, such as appointing them to positions without proper regard to merit		52 %	_	-	-	-
Nepotism-preferential treatment of family members, such as appointing them to positions without proper regard to merit		17 %	-	-	-	-
Fraud, forgery or embezzlement		16%	-	-	-	-
Did you report the potentially corrupt behaviour?						
I reported the behaviour in accordance with my agency's policies and procedures		16%	+3	-3	0	-2
It was reported by someone else		16%	+5 ⊘	+1	-1	+2
I did not report the behaviour		67 %	-80	+3	+1	0
KEY	AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE PO THAN COMPARATOR	INTS GREATER	(AT LEAST 5 COMPARATO	PERCENTAGE POIN DR	TS LESS THAN

2022 APS Employee Census

PAGE 20.

Australian

Australian



DEMOGRAPHICS

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARG SIZED AGENCIES
How do you describe your gender?						
Man or male		32 %	+1	-5♥	-3	-4
Woman or female		64%	-1	+5♠	+3	+4
Non-binary		1%	0	0	0	0
I use a different term		0%	0	0	0	0
Prefer not to say		3 %	0	0	Ο	0
Do you identify as an Australian Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Is	lander person?					
Yes		3%	0	-1	-1	-1
No		97%	0	+1	+1	+1
Do you have an ongoing disability?						
Yes		8%	0	-2	-1	-2
No		92%	0	+2	+1	+2

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN

COMPARATOR



AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN

COMPARATOR

KEY

DEMOGRAPHICS

	RESPONSE SCALE	%	VARIANCE FROM 2021	VARIANCE FROM APS OVERALL	VARIANCE FROM POLICY AGENCIES	VARIANCE FROM LARG SIZED AGENCIES
Do you have carer responsibilities?						
Yes		45%	+3	+3	+4	+4
No		55 %	-3	-3	-4	-4
Do you identify as Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and/or gender diventersex, Queer, Questioning and/or Asexual (LGBTIQA+)?	erse,					
Yes		9%	+1	+1	-1	-1
No		91%	-1	-1	+1	+1
n which country were you born?						
Australia		74%	-2	-3	-6 👁	-5♥
Other country		26%	+2	+3	+6•	+5♠
Oo you speak a language other than English at home?						
No, English only		78%	-3	-2	-4	-4
Yes, other		22%	+3	+2	+4	+4

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS GREATER THAN

COMPARATOR

Australian Government
Australian Public Service Commission

AT LEAST 5 PERCENTAGE POINTS LESS THAN

COMPARATOR

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 22.

KEY

TIME TO TAKE ACTION

CELEBRATE	Q INVESTIGATE FURTHER WITH OUR TEAMS	<u>~</u>
What things do we do well?	Are there any other opportunities coming out of the results that we want to explore further?	Areas we need to focus of plans:
THINK ABOUT HOW WE CAN BUILD ON OUR STRENGTHS AND LEARN FROM WHAT WE ARE GOOD AT.	HOW COULD WE INVESTIGATE? THROUGH LOOKING AT THE DATA IN MORE DETAIL OR THROUGH DISCUSSIONS WITH STAFF?	WHAT ARE THE KEY THINGS WE NEED THERE BETTER?

Areas we need to focus on and turn into action plans:	₩	OPPORTUNITIES
		eed to focus on and turn into action
	piaris.	



USE THIS PAGE TO START YOUR LOCAL **ACTION PLANS**

IDENTIFY AREAS TO CELEBRATE, OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT AND AREAS WHICH YOU NEED TO INVESTIGATE FURTHER.


PRIORITISE 3 AREAS TO TAKE FORWARD

	PRIORITISE 3 AREAS FOR ACTION	TIMESCALES	OWNER	RESOURCES REQUIRED	TARGET/SUCCESS MEASURE
1					
2					
3					

GUIDE TO THIS REPORT

% POSITIVE

WHERE RESULTS ARE SHOWN AS POSITIVE PERCENTAGES (% POSITIVE), THESE ARE CALCULATED BY ADDING TOGETHER POSITIVE RESPONSES ("STRONGLY AGREE" + "AGREE") AND DIVIDING BY THE NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS WHO ANSWERED THE QUESTION.



ROUNDING

RESULTS ARE PRESENTED AS WHOLE NUMBERS FOR EASE OF READING, WITH ROUNDING PERFORMED AT THE LAST STAGE OF CALCULATION FOR MAXIMUM ACCURACY. VALUES FROM X.00 TO X.49 ARE ROUNDED DOWN AND VALUES FROM X.50 TO X.99 ARE ROUNDED UP. THEREFORE IN SOME INSTANCES, RESULTS MAY NOT TOTAL 100%.

	STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE	NEITHER	DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	TOTAL
NUMBER OF RESPONSES	151	166	176	96	24	613
PERCENTAGE	24.63%	27.08%	28.71%	15.66%	3.92%	100%
ROUNDED PERCENTAGE	25%	27%	29%	16%	4%	101%
NUMBER OF POSITIVE	151 + 166	= 317				
% POSITIVE	317 ÷ 613	5 = 52%				

ANONYMITY

IT IS ENGINE'S PRACTICE NOT TO DISPLAY THE RESULTS OF GROUPS OF RESPONDENTS TO THE EXTENT WHERE THE ANONYMITY OF INDIVIDUALS MAY BE COMPROMISED. RESULTS WILL NOT BE SHOWN WHERE THERE ARE LESS THAN 10 RESPONDENTS IN A GROUP.

COMPARISONS WITH RESULTS FROM PREVIOUS YEARS

THE METHOD OF ANALYSING AND REPORTING SPECIFIC RESULTS MAY BE PERIODICALLY REVIEWED AND REVISED. SUCH IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPLIED TO CURRENT DATA AND THAT OF PREVIOUS YEARS. FOR THIS REASON THE CURRENT REPORT IS ALWAYS THE MOST ACCURATE DATA SOURCE FOR APS EMPLOYEE CENSUS RESULTS, INCLUDING COMPARISONS WITH TIME SERIES DATA.

2022 APS Employee Census PAGE 24.

