

34

That there are.

AF.

----- Message from on 10/02/2014 12:42:19 PM -----  
To: "Alastair.Furnival@health.gov.au"  
Subject: FW: Contact Form submission from [www.fionanash.com.au](http://www.fionanash.com.au) [SEC=No Protective Marking]

RE: Contact Form submission from [www.fionanash.com.au](http://www.fionanash.com.au) [SEC=No Protective Marking]  
Alastair Furnival

to:

10/02/2014 12:53 PM

Hide Details

From: Alastair Furnival/MINISTER/Health

To:

**From:** noreply@fionanash.com.au [mailto:noreply@fionanash.com.au]

**Sent:** Saturday, 8 February 2014 10:13 PM

**To:** Nash, Fiona (Senator)

**Subject:** Contact Form submission from [www.fionanash.com.au](http://www.fionanash.com.au)

Contact Form Submission from [www.fionanash.com.au](http://www.fionanash.com.au)

Name

Email

Address

Phone

Postal

Address

Suburb

Postcode

Message Dear Senator Nash, I read with interest your decision regarding the "health star rating" website (<http://www.smh.com.au/federal-politics/political-news/anger-as-federal-food-guide-is-pulled-from-web-20140207-327bd.html>). I take it that the details of the article regarding the previous interests of your chief of staff are correct? If so, this is a very poor "look". I am also intrigued by the further cost benefit analysis you propose. Can you provide details of the proposed "broader" scope of this study and the parties you consider to be "stakeholders" within it? regards,

IP

Address