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An outbreak of Norwalk virus gastroenteritis following consumption of oysters

Craig Dalton, Director, Hunter Public Health Unit, PO Box 466, Wallsend NSW 2287.

This report of an outbreak of gastroenteritis associated with oyster consumption is an important reminder of the risks associated with consumption of raw or undercooked foods of animal origin, and the risks associated with the contamination of oyster harvesting areas with human sewage.

The authors should be congratulated for their cross-border collaboration in investigation of the outbreak and for their joint report. In the absence of a national outbreak database, such reports provide the basis for developing food safety policy and programs.

While the only laboratory evidence for Norwalk virus infection was a positive PCR result from a single stool, the symptom profile, incubation period, and duration of illness are entirely consistent with previous outbreaks of Norwalk virus infection. In similar outbreaks where the symptoms, incubation period, and duration of illness suggest a viral aetiology, RT-PCR, as used in this investigation could be increasingly utilized. This technique is estimated to detect as little as 10 to 1,000 Norwalk virus particles per millilitre of stool compared to a lower level of detection of 10^2 to 10^3 particles per millilitre of stool by electron microscopy.

The authors acknowledge that the need to carry out a rapid field investigation may have introduced some biases. However, it is inconceivable that any plausible bias could account for such a strong association with oyster consumption. Alternative methods of analysis could have been employed to remove some of the biases - such as analysing all attendees at the two large functions that had 18 and 24 cases respectively. Instead they chose to use a nested case-control or case-cohort style methodology with a convenience sample of controls from various restaurants and functions. The fact that the prevalence of vomiting and diarrhoea were in the upper range of that reported in previous reviews, and the rate of medical consultation was so high, is probably due to more severe cases contacting the health departments. This bias could have been addressed through studying all well and ill persons in well defined cohorts, or random sampling of cases and/or controls within large well defined cohorts.

The subsequent outbreak of hepatitis A associated with oysters from Wallis Lake has focused consumer attention on the safety of oyster consumption. Oyster associated outbreaks are likely to be increasingly recognised for the following reasons:

1. Many estuaries are subject to increasing urban development with associated overflows from sewage treatment plants, septic systems and storm water discharges. It may take years to provide the infrastructure required to protect these waterways.
2. Consumers are increasingly concerned about food safety and may be more likely to report illness.
3. Health agencies are using more advanced epidemiological and laboratory investigation methods (e.g. PCR) that will increase the likelihood of similar outbreaks being detected.

In order to protect the health of oyster consumers viral monitoring of harvest areas should be introduced as a research program. However, this is a relatively new methodology requiring time to learn how to interpret the results, and therefore should not be considered a panacea. In this outbreak it was interesting to note that an adenovirus was detected in oyster material, but no Norwalk virus was detected. However, faecal coliforms were above the recommended level, and as an interim measure, compliance with existing guidelines should be a priority.

The New South Wales Health Department has previously recommended consumers should be made aware of the risks associated with the consumption of raw seafood. In particular, persons at increased risk of death due to oyster associated infections should be aware of that risk. This includes people with liver disease who are at risk of complications due to Vibrio vulnificus and V. parahaemolyticus, and hepatitis A infection (Vibrio are not associated with sewage contamination). In addition, persons with immune-compromising conditions such as cancer and AIDS, and the elderly, are at increased risk of fulminant infections associated with raw oyster consumption. It would be worthwhile evaluating to what extent these high risk groups are aware of these warnings. In the state of Florida in the United States of America the following notice is required at all points of raw oyster sale:

**Consumer information:** There is risk associated with consuming raw oysters. If you have chronic illness of the liver, stomach, or blood or have immune disorders, you are at greater risk of serious illness from raw oysters and should eat oysters fully cooked. If unsure of your risk, consult a physician.

Some groups object to such warnings and hold the view that food is either ‘safe for everyone’ or ‘not safe enough for anyone’. This is based on the premise that food is either ‘safe’ or ‘unsafe’ without qualification. Perhaps consumers are too sophisticated to be given blanket reassurances of safety, and now expect agencies to provide them with information that allows them to come to their own conclusions. It may benefit industry if those at greatest risk of disease are not consuming higher risk food products, and may lessen public outrage if consumers suffer illness after making an informed decision to eat a higher risk food.
Meningococcal disease in New South Wales

In early October two separate outbreaks of meningococcal disease (serogroup C) were reported to the New South Wales Health Department. The first of these involved two university students who had attended the same intervarsity sporting event in New South Wales. One student, from Western Australia, died. In the second outbreak three cases were reported at a high school.

It is usual to observe an increase in the number of reports of meningococcal disease at this time of year. Meningococcal meningitis is caused by the bacterium, Neisseria meningitidis. This organism is common in the community and exists harmlessly in the throats of many adults and children. It is spread by respiratory droplets from the nose and throat of an infected person. In a small proportion of individuals infection progresses to an acute invasive disease. Symptoms include high temperature, fever, sore neck, headache, vomiting, rash and joint pain. Treatment is successful in the majority of cases if administered promptly. In cases of suspected meningococcal disease benzylpenicillin is the drug of choice. Where other causes of bacterial meningitis could be involved ceftriaxone should be used where available.

The National Health and Medical Research Council recommends rifampicin chemoprophylaxis for contacts of a case of invasive meningococcal infection. Vaccination is only recommended in special circumstances. Most cases of disease in Australia are due to serogroup B, for which no effective vaccine is available. In the case of an outbreak due to a vaccine preventable serogroup the National Health and Medical Research Council recommends that a vaccination program should be considered if the population at risk can be clearly identified, such as in a day-care centre, school or university. Routine vaccination is not recommended as the risk of meningococcal disease in Australia is low.
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