
SCREENING OF BAT CARERS FOR ANTIBODIES TO EQUINE MORBILLIVIRUS

Linda Selvey¹, Roscoe Taylor², Antony Arklay³ and John Gerrard⁴

Abstract

In response to a recent finding of antibodies reactive with equine morbillivirus in four species of flying foxes, people who had close association with flying foxes were tested for antibodies to the virus. One hundred and twenty-eight bat carers were tested, none of whom had detectable antibodies. As people who had regular contact with flying foxes were targeted in this study, the majority of the subjects had considerable histories of contact with flying foxes, including scratches and bites. These findings suggest that neither prolonged close contact nor casual contact with flying foxes engenders a risk of equine morbillivirus infection in humans. *Comm Dis Intell* 1996;20:477-478.

Introduction

Two outbreaks of equine morbillivirus infection involving three human cases have occurred in Queensland^{1,2,3,4}. One was in Mackay and the other was in Brisbane. Both outbreaks involved horses and the human cases were horse handlers. In a search for an animal reservoir for equine morbillivirus (EMV), Young *et al* detected in 9% of flying foxes antibodies reactive with equine morbillivirus in ELISA and in neutralisation assays^{5,6}. Antibodies were detected in serum samples taken from all four species of flying fox that occur in Queensland. Antibodies have not been detected in any of the 46 other animal species tested so far⁶.

This finding raised concerns among some people who care for flying foxes. First, some carers were concerned that they were at risk of equine morbillivirus infection because of close contact with flying foxes. Secondly, many carers were concerned that some people may use the potential risk of infection to humans as a reason to shoot flying foxes. Many bat carer organisations rely on volunteers to care for orphaned flying foxes and on donations received for giving talks about flying foxes which involved showing live animals. Both these activities appeared to be under threat because of fears of equine morbillivirus infection. For these reasons, several bat carers requested testing for antibodies to equine morbillivirus infection.

Methods

Bat carers were contacted through bat carer organisations in Queensland and New South Wales. Serological testing was offered to bat carers who had prolonged, significant contact with either adult or juvenile flying foxes. Others who had lesser amounts of contact were tested because of concerns about occupational health and safety or because of their association with a bat carer organisation. All those who were tested were asked to complete a self-administered questionnaire in which their history of contact with

flying foxes was documented. The sera were tested for antibodies to equine morbillivirus using an ELISA assay and any equivocal results were sent to the Australian Animal Health Laboratory for serum neutralisation assays.

Results

None of the 128 bat carers who were tested had detectable antibodies to equine morbillivirus. All carers were from Queensland except for six who were from New South Wales. The median duration of contact was 48 months, ranging from one month to 36 years. Of the carers, 74% reported daily contact with flying foxes. When the duration and frequency of contact were considered together, the median number of months in which contact with flying foxes was experienced was 25.5, ranging from less than one month to 36 years. One of the subjects had received several deep puncture wounds to a finger and web space of one hand from a bite while handling a bat now known to be EMV antibody-positive at the time of the bites. This person's serology was negative at both three days and eight weeks post-bite, and she remains well.

Of the carers, 74% reported having been bitten, 88% reported having been scratched, and 60% reported exposure to flying fox blood. The majority (72%) reported caring for sick and injured flying foxes. Carers had been exposed to all four species of flying fox, with 51% reporting exposure to grey flying foxes, 59% to little red flying foxes, 74% to black flying foxes and 41% to spectacled flying foxes.

Discussion

We tested 128 bat carers, most of whom had prolonged contact with flying foxes which included having been bitten, scratched and having exposure to blood. None of these carers tested positive for antibodies to EMV infec-

1. Department of Microbiology and Tropical Health Program, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland 4072.
2. Central Zonal Public Health Unit, Rockhampton, Queensland.
3. University Health Service, University of Queensland.
4. Gold Coast Hospital, Southport, Queensland.

tion. There are a number of possible reasons for this. First, the flying foxes may have been infected with a paramyxovirus related to equine morbillivirus but which does not infect humans. The fact that antibodies from flying foxes neutralise equine morbillivirus in vitro makes this explanation unlikely. Secondly, the infection in the flying foxes leading to the production of antibodies may be short lived, making it unlikely that a bat carer will have exposure to an infected flying fox. A further possible explanation is that equine morbillivirus infection is not readily transmitted from flying foxes to humans. As we did not test all bat carers our findings cannot totally exclude the possibility of transmission to humans. However if this has occurred it must be extremely rare.

Regardless of the explanation, our data suggest that neither prolonged close contact nor casual contact with flying foxes engenders a risk of equine morbillivirus infection in humans.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Queensland Health for their support of the testing, in particular the Laboratory of Microbiology and Pathology that performed the serologi-

cal testing. The authors also thank the Australian Animal Health Laboratory in Geelong, Victoria for their assistance with confirmatory testing and Queensland Medical Laboratory, Sullivan and Nicolaides and Macquarie Pathology for their assistance in collecting and transporting blood. Finally, the authors would like to thank the various bat carer organisations that co-operated so well with the study.

References

1. Selvey LA, Wells RM, McCormack JG *et al*. Infection of humans and horses by a newly described morbillivirus. *Med J Aust* 1995;162:642-645.
2. Murray K, Selleck P, Hooper P *et al*. A morbillivirus that caused fatal disease in horses and humans. *Science* 1995;268:94-97.
3. Allworth T, O'Sullivan J, Selvey L, Sheridan J. Equine morbillivirus in Queensland. *Comm Dis Intell* 1995;19:575.
4. Selvey LA, Sheridan J. Outbreak of a severe respiratory disease in humans and horses due to a previously unrecognised paramyxovirus. *Comm Dis Intell* 1994;18:499.
5. Young P. Possible reservoir host of equine morbillivirus identified. *Comm Dis Intell* 1996;20:262.
6. Young PL, Halpin K, Selleck PW *et al*. Serologic evidence for the presence in Pteropus bats of a paramyxovirus related to equine morbillivirus. *Emerging Infect Dis* 1996;2:239-240.

A FIVE YEAR REVIEW OF *CAMPYLOBACTER* INFECTION IN QUEENSLAND

Russell Stafford, Thomas Tenkate and Brad McCall, Southern Zone Public Health Unit, PO Box 6509 Upper Mt Gravatt, Queensland 4122

Abstract

Campylobacter infection consistently has one of the highest annual notification rates of all communicable diseases. We reviewed the epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infection in Queensland by analysing notification data for a five year period (1991 to 1995). This included incidence, age and sex distribution, seasonality, geographic distribution, and socioeconomic status. The review found the highest notification rate in children aged 12 - 23 months. There was no distinct seasonal pattern of infection. *Campylobacter* infection was reported more frequently in urban areas and for persons residing in higher socioeconomic areas. It would appear that factors which influence notification rates in the general population do not necessarily have the same influence on the 0 - 4 years age group. *Comm Dis Intell* 1996;20:478-482.

Introduction

Campylobacter infection has emerged during the past decade as the most frequently notified cause of acute bacterial diarrhoea in Australia. *Campylobacter* enteritis has been a notifiable disease in Queensland since 1990. There are around 10,000 cases of *Campylobacter* infection notified in Australia each year, and the illness consistently has one of the highest annual rates of notification of all communicable diseases¹. Cases are predominantly caused by two species, *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli*, with *C. jejuni* responsible for up to 90% of the infections².

Previous studies have shown that the notification rate for *Campylobacter* is highest in the 0 - 4 years age group^{3,4,5}. Other studies have identified a number of potential risk factors associated with *Campylobacter* infection, including inadequately cooked chicken, domestic pets such as cats

and dogs, raw milk, untreated water, and poor food hygiene and handling practices^{6,7,8,9,10,11,12}. Large outbreaks and person-to-person transmission appear to be uncommon⁴. We are currently conducting a matched case control study in the southern part of Brisbane and the Gold Coast areas to determine whether the above or additional risk factors are associated with *Campylobacter* infection in children less than two years old. To provide background information for this study, we reviewed the epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infection in this State for the five year period 1991 to 1995.

Methods

All *Campylobacter* notifications to Queensland Health with reported onset dates between 1 January 1991 and 31 December 1995 were collated and analysed using Epi Info